

# **Proposed Quarter Cent Sales Tax**

Presented to the Mecklenburg Board of County Commissioners June 25, 2019



- Presentation by the Arts and Science Council
- Proposed Allocation
- Governance Models
- Discussion



# Proposed Allocation

Arts & Culture - General	\$24,500,000	49%
Education (teacher supplement)	\$8,000,000	16%
Arts & Culture/Parks - Towns	\$2,500,000	5%
Huntersville	\$781,648	
Cornelius	\$598,207	
Davidson	\$208,765	
Matthews	\$408,551	
Mint Hill	\$287,366	
Pineville	\$215,464	
Parks & Greenways	\$15,000,000	30%
Sales Tax Collected	\$50,000,000	100%



# Proposed Allocation

### **Considerations**

- Stabilize the Cultural Sector
- Board Priorities
- Existing Funding Strategies
- Geographic Equity
- Polling Data
- Mitigate Risk to the County





## **Development of Options**

# • Cultural Sector Working Group Establish a County Department Establish a new 501(c)(3)

## Options Further Developed

Retain the ASC with modifications Establish a Limited Liability Company Establish a Supporting Organization





# **Guiding Principals**

### Accountability

Funds spent as intended Minimal overhead Maximum dispersion

#### • Transparency

Funding decisions based on sound processes Data driven decision making Separation between programming and grantmaking





# **Guiding Principals**

## • Strategic Planning

Should set vision for arts and culture for the community Should oversee execution of the vision

## • Lead with Equity

Funding decisions should advance equity in the community All residents, particularly children, should have access to cultural assets

# • Be Transformational

Take arts and culture to the next level





## Not Recommended

- Create county department Does not meet guiding principles Need to create new infrastructure Would likely not get community support
- Create an authority Requires action by the General Assembly
- Create a Limited Liability Company Would not meet the guiding principles





## **Options to Consider**

**Create a restructured ASC –** would no longer be involved in fund raising, additional county appointments to the board, may not continue grant making

**Pros:** least disruptive, existing infrastructure

**Cons:** insufficient county oversight, not transformational





**Create a supporting organization of Foundation for the Carolinas:** organization is "tethered" to the FFTC, County would have majority board appointments, focus on grant making, strategy and public art

**Pros:** has been used successfully in the past, high level of accountability to County, ensures continued public/private partnership

**Cons:** loss of established non-profit in ASC, may not seem sufficiently independent, requires IRS approval





**Create a new 501(c)(3)** – could assume some or all responsibilities of the ASC, separates grant making and programing, County would have majority board appointments

**Pros:** could design to meet all guiding principals, would be perceived as "fresh start", would be independent, meets all guiding principles; would have reporting requirements to BOCC

**Cons:** loss of established non-profit in ASC, requires IRS approval



ckNC.gov

## Discussion

