Construction Management @ Risk Comparison Matrix

Competitive Bid (Design-Bid-Build)

Often referred to as Design-Bid-Build, this method is the one with which most Owners are familiar. It is a linear process where one task follows completion of another with no overlap possible. Plans and specifications are completed by the architect, then bids are requested.

Contractors bid the project exactly as it is designed with the lowest responsible, responsive bidder awarded the work. The design consultant team is selected separately and reports directly to the owner.

This method includes the following three types of Competitive Bids identified in G.S. 143-128(a1)(1) through

G.S. 143-128(a1)(3):

- (1) Separate-prime bidding
- (2) Single-prime bidding
- (3) Dual bidding pursuant to subsection (d1) of

G.S. 143-128

Construction Management At Risk

The Construction Management at Risk (CM@Risk) approach allows the Owner to interview and select a construction firm based upon qualifications early in the design phase. During the design phase, the construction manager works with the design team to provide construction methodology recommendations, constructability reviews, cost estimating and scheduling. A Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) is provided by the CM@Risk to the Owner near the end of the design phase. The CM@Risk receives bids from and awards contracts to prequalified subcontractors. The final construction price is the sum of the CM@Risk's fee, overhead, and contingencies plus the subcontractors' bids. The design consultant team is selected separately and reports directly to the owner.

Advantages

- a) Familiar delivery method
- b) Fully defined project scope for both design and construction
- Both design team and contractor accountable to Owner
- d) Lowest price proposed and accepted; pricing, including contractor fee and overhead, developed competitively: "lowest price"
- e) Creates bidding opportunities for multiple general contractors and subcontractors
- f) Typically used for simple projects, with defined schedules and budgets

Advantages

- a) Selection of contractor based on qualifications, experience, and team
- b) Contractor provides design phase assistance in constructability, budgeting, and scheduling
- c) Continuous budget control possible
- d) Pre-qualification of subcontractors allows Owner and contractor to ensure quality and experience
- e) Subcontracts are competitively bid by pre-qualified contractors
- Better coordination between design team and contractor
- g) Changes in scope during design can be immediately priced by CM@Risk to determine budget impact
- h) Should reduce change orders during construction since CM@Risk participated in the design phase
- Typically used for large or complex projects, requiring a high level of construction management due to multiple phases, technical complexity, or multidisciplinary coordination
- j) Allows early ordering/purchasing of materials/equipment with long lead times.
- K) CM@Risk historically have provided greater MWBE participation for County projects

	Disadvantages		Disadvantages
- \	Discourse Historic additions		
a)	Price not established until bids are received; may require redesign and rebid if bids exceed budget	a)	May cost more than traditional design-bid-build method due to CM@Risk fees associated with pre-construction
b)	Quality of contractors and subcontractors not assured		services, but such services can help control overall project costs.
c)	Cost estimates during design process do not involve contractor input		project deste.
d)	Fosters adversarial relationships between all parties which may increase probability of disputes		
e)	No design phase input from contractor on project planning, budget, or estimates (constructability reviews)		
f)	Not optimal for projects that are sequential, schedule or change sensitive		
g)	Change orders and claims may increase final project cost		
h)	Unable to predict MWBE participation until bidding is complete		