

January 17, 2024

Mr. Darren J. Steinhilber, P.E. Construction Project Manager Mecklenburg County LUESA Solid Waste Division 2145 Suttle Avenue Charlotte, NC 28208

RE: North Mecklenburg Recycling Center

Phase 2 Site Improvements

Bid Review and Recommendation

Dear Mr. Steinhilber:

HDR Engineering, Inc. of the Carolinas (HDR) has reviewed the bids received by Mecklenburg County on January 4, 2024 at 2:00 pm for the North Mecklenburg Recycling Center Phase 2 Site Improvements. The project is to expand the current recycling center facility to the south which will consist of construction of a noise retaining wall and noise berm, evergreen screening, canopy structure, power service to the canopy structure, stormwater dry detention pond, asphalt paving, and concrete paving.

Five (5) bids for this project were received by Mecklenburg County and provided to HDR for review. Bids were received from the following contractors and are listed in order based on lowest to highest base bid:

RJ Leeper Construction, LLC.: \$4,384,555.48
 J.M. Cope, Inc.: \$4,387,788.00
 AVM Contractors, LLC.: \$4,546,917.00
 Hoss Contracting, Inc.: \$4,772,380.00
 Ground Thunder Construction, Inc.: \$5,121,742.00

The bids were examined for accuracy and compliance with the bid requirements. Enclosed is the bid tabulation. Based on the review of the bid information submitted, the apparent low bidder's submittal is compliant with the bid documents. Therefore, HDR recommends that Mecklenburg County enter into a contract with RJ Leeper Construction, LLC. for this project.

HDR appreciates the opportunity to provide continued service to Mecklenburg County. Please feel free to contact me if you have questions regarding this recommendation.

Respectfully submitted, HDR Engineering, Inc. of the Carolinas

Emily Tucker, PE Project Manager

Enclosure: Bid Tabulation



Bid Tabulation Sheet Summary

NORTH MECKLENBURG RECYCLING CENTER PHASE 2 – SITE IMPROVEMENTS Bids Received January 4, 2024 at 2:00 PM

		PHONE: LICENSE #: TYPE: STATUS:	224 Cha 704 NC Unli Acti 12/3	Westinghouse Bl rlotte, NC 28273 .900.5087 80465 mited ve 81/2024		Ground Thunder Co PO Box 791096 Charlotte, NC 28206 704.332.0000 58635 Unlimited Active 12/31/2024 Building	nstruction, Inc.	RJ Leeper Construction of the construction of	tion, LLC	Hoss Contracting, I 4524 Hwy 74 E Wingate, NC 28111 704.233.0488 77611 Unlimited Active 12/31/2024 Building; Highway	nc.	J.M. Cope, Inc. PO Box 4047 Rock Hill, SC 29732 803.329.3250 71908 Unlimited Active 12/31/2024 Building	
Item		Estimate d		Unit Cost	Total Cost	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Unit Cost	Total Cost
No.	Description Bonds and Insurance	Quantity Unit 1 LS	_	\$	83,868.17	\$	60,000.00	\$	101,404.80	9	80,000		110,000
2	Mobilization	1 LS		\$		\$	60,000.00	\$	80,418.42		200,000		45,000
3	Administration	1 LS		\$	271,000	\$	450,000.00	\$	297,468.83	9	270,000		-
4	Survey Layout and Control	1 LS		\$,	\$	20,000.00	\$	12,675.60	9	40,000		30,000
5	Common Site Excavation	10,000 CY	\$	11.41 \$		\$ 27.5 \$		\$ 10.56 \$		\$ 7.01	,	1 °	
6	Structural Fill Placement	8,000 CY	\$	28.05		\$ 3.75 \$		\$ 10.56 \$		\$ 8.13 \$			
7 8	Clearing and Grubbing Remove Existing Asphalt Pavement	2.5 Acre 7,000 SY	\$ \$	18,113.48 \$ 21.87 \$		\$ 14,620 \$ \$ 7.14 \$		\$ 21,971.04 \$ \$ 14.79 \$		\$ 14,400 \$ \$ 4.60 \$,
9	Existing Fence Removal	950 LF	\$	10.33		\$ 15.78 \$		\$ 10.56 \$		\$ 3.20			
10	Sedimentation and Erosion Control	1 LS	Ψ	10.55 \$		\$		10.50 \$	70,772.10	ψ 0.20 g		Ψ 0.00	
11	Silt Fence	2,500 LF	\$	4.79		,	,	\$ 5.28 \$					
12	Riprap	200 Tons	\$	91.16 \$		\$ 83.55 \$		\$ 63.38 \$		\$ 100 \$			
13	Sediment Basin Skimmer and Outlet Structure	1 LS		\$	8,462.14	\$	10,556.00	\$	4,225.20	9	18,000		11,500
14	Porous Baffles	300 LF	\$	75.50 \$,	\$ 5.66 \$	1,700.00	\$ 10.56 \$,	\$ 10 \$	3,000	\$ 7.70	
15	Sediment Basin Conversion to Dry Detention	1 LS		\$		\$	12,465.00	\$	26,407.50	\$			64,652
16	Temporary Diversion Ditches	1,400 LF	\$	51.05 \$		\$ 2.97 \$,	\$ 10.56 \$				\$ 3.30	4,620
17	Permanent Diversion Ditch	1 LS	•	4.50	,	\$ 50.00 \$	50,000.00	\$ 54.00	28,380.33	57.45	, ,,,,,,,	47.40	6,500
18 19	Asphalt Pavement Construction Concrete Compactor Pads	10,500 SY 120 CY	\$	4.53 \$ 564.14 \$, , , , , , ,	\$ 50.00 \$ \$ 833.33 \$,	\$ 54.09 \$ \$ 687.34 \$				1 °	
20	Subsurface 24" RCP Installation	325 LF	\$	231.30 \$		\$ 033.33 \$ \$ 107.69 \$		\$ 126.76 \$					
21	Subsurface 18" RCP Installation	200 LF	\$	349.77 \$,	\$ 73.01 \$	· ·	\$ 84.50 \$			'		,
22	FES-1 30" RCP Installation	40 LF	\$	386.44 \$		\$ 203.25 \$		\$ 290.48 \$					
23	Dry Detention Riser Structure	1 LS	Ť	\$		\$		\$		200.00			
24	Pond Outlet Pipe, 24" RCP	60 LF	\$	231.30 \$		\$ 250.00 \$	· ·	\$ 405.62 \$		\$ 206.00		\$ 100.00	
25	Bollard	50 Each	\$	1,514.16 \$	75,780	\$ 1,200.00 \$	60,000.00	\$ 637.60 \$	31,880.00	\$ 1,154.00	57,700	\$ 550.00	27,500
26	New Fence	925 LF	\$	59.02 \$		\$ 37.83 \$		\$ 32.33 \$	29,905.25	\$ 44.00 \$	40,700	\$ 30.00	
27	Segmental Block Retaining Wall	343 LF	\$	349.64 \$		\$ 335.27 \$		\$ 168.45 \$					
28	Concrete Retaining Wall	466 LF	\$	304.97		\$ 510.72 \$		\$ 525.52 \$		\$ 580.00	'		
29	Stone Backfill Behind Wall and Drainage	210 CY	\$	84.51 \$		\$ 71.42 \$		\$ 155.76 \$					
30	Structural Backfill Behind Wall	1,100 CY	\$	50.77 \$		\$ 13.64 \$		\$ 34.00 \$ \$ 34.29 \$		\$ 70.00 \$. ,		
31 32	Metal Screening Concrete Curb and Gutter	3,880 SF 625 LF	\$	38.66 \$ 31.59 \$		\$ 77.31 \$ \$ 28.00 \$		\$ 34.29 \$ \$ 28.52 \$		\$ 46.50 \$ 32.00 \$		\$ 33.00 \$ 30.00 \$	
33	Fire Hydrant	1 LS	Ψ	51.59 ¢		\$ 20.00 \$	156,730.00	\$ 20.52 \$	63,906.33	ψ 32.00 Q	20,500	Ψ 30.00	60,000
34	Yard Hydrant Relocation and Installations	1 LS		\$		Š.	15,600.00	s \$	12,109.42	9	13,500		50,000
35	Landscape Plantings	1 LS		\$	84,230.96	\$	120,000.00	\$	89,600.00		92,000		88,000
36	Materials Shed Canopy Structure	11,000 SF	\$	28.98 \$	318,780	\$ 74.55 \$	820,000.00	\$ 44.78 \$			688,050		517,500
37	Materials Shed Canopy CMU Wall Construction	960 SF	\$	50.07 \$									
38	Canopy Foundation	85 CY	\$	723.99 \$				\$ 1,116.22 \$					
	Canopy Ground floor Slab Canopy Office Buildings Installations	230 CY	\$	564.14 \$		\$ 1,086.96 \$		\$ 713.23 \$,
40 41	Canopy Office Buildings Installations Canopy HHW Building Installation	2 Each 1 LS	Ф	487.19 \$		\$ 5,000.00 \$	10,000.00 5,000.00	\$ 3,612.55 \$	7,225.10 8,129.28	\$ 11,000.00	22,000 26,000	\$ 7,500.00	5 15,000 6 12,000
41	Electrical Service and Feeders	1 LS		Ş		\$	200,000.00	\$ \$	181,106.72		110,000		6 160,000
43	Compactor Electrical Service	1 LS		9	115,946.54	\$	29,800.00	s \$	123,851.52		75,000		108,000
44	Site Lighting	1 LS		\$	125,263.57	\$	170,000.00	\$	160,819.52	9	135,000		180,000
45	Security & Communication Service	1 LS		\$	36,677.15	\$	60,000.00	\$	75,096.54	\$	63,000		25,000
46	Permanent Seeding	1 LS		\$	16,585.78	\$	5,000.00	\$	3,697.05	9	63,000		22,000
47	Project Contingency	1 LS		\$,	\$	500,000.00	\$	500,000.00	9	500,000.00		500,000.00
Total Bid Price For Contract \$4,546,917.00				17.00	\$5,121,742.00		\$4,384,555.48		\$4,772,380.00		\$4,387,778.00		
	oposal Form			Υ		Y		Y		Y		Y	
All Addenda Acknowledged				Y		Y		Y		Y		Y	
	sal Signature Page			Y		Y		Y		l Y		Y	
Certification of Non-Discrimination E-Verify Declaration				Y		Y		Y		Y		Y	
	ty Declaration cation of ADA Compliance			τ ∨		Y V		Y V		Y V		Y V	
Bid Bond				Y				, ,		ľ		l 'y	
BDI F				Y		Ý		Ý		Ϋ́		l Ÿ	
BDI Form B				Y		Y		Y		Ϋ́		Ϋ́	
			See Note #1			Coo N-4- #0						See Not	0.#2
Irregularities (see highlighted areas above)				See Note	5#1	See Note #2						See Not	t #3

Notes:

1) <u>AVM Contractors, LLC</u>: Discrepancies between unit pricing and extension, as indicated in red text. In accordance with A Legal Guide to Construction Contracting with North Carolina Local Governments (c 2015), Chapter 2.53, "The general rule of practice that addresses this discrepancy is that unit price controls. If the local government wishes to use this rule in the event of a discrepancy between unit price and extension, it should state this in its bid specifications." On the Bid Form, Section 4.A states that "The Base Bid Total indicated in the numbers and in words at the end of the Base Bid Schedule, shall be used for determination of the successful Bidder." In this case, a final determination was made that the Bid Form stipulation governs.

2) Ground Thunder Construction, Inc.: Itemized total costs, as indicated in red text, were rounded up.

3) <u>J.M. Cope, Inc.</u>: Discrepancies between unit pricing and extension, as indicated in red text. Item No. 3 Administration left blank on the Bid Form. In accordance with A Legal Guide to Construction Contracting with North Carolina Local Governments (c 2015), Chapter 2.52, "If the omission substantially affects the bid price, the bid must be rejected as being nonresponsive."

CERTIFICATION: This is certified to be an accurate tabulation of bids received for the project.

