January 17, 2024

Mr. Darren J. Steinhilber, P.E.<br>Construction Project Manager<br>Mecklenburg County LUESA<br>Solid Waste Division<br>2145 Suttee Avenue<br>Charlotte, NC 28208

## RE: North Mecklenburg Recycling Center Phase 2 Site Improvements Bid Review and Recommendation

Dear Mr. Steinhilber:
HDR Engineering, Inc. of the Carolinas (HDR) has reviewed the bids received by Mecklenburg County on January 4, 2024 at 2:00 pm for the North Mecklenburg Recycling Center Phase 2 Site Improvements. The project is to expand the current recycling center facility to the south which will consist of construction of a noise retaining wall and noise berm, evergreen screening, canopy structure, power service to the canopy structure, stormwater dry detention pond, asphalt paving, and concrete paving.

Five (5) bids for this project were received by Mecklenburg County and provided to HDR for review. Bids were received from the following contractors and are listed in order based on lowest to highest base bid:

1. RJ Leeper Construction, LLC.: $\$ 4,384,555.48$
2. J.M. Cope, Inc.: $\$ 4,387,788.00$
3. AVM Contractors, LLC.: $\$ 4,546,917.00$
4. Hes Contracting, Inc.: $\$ 4,772,380.00$
5. Ground Thunder Construction, Inc.: $\$ 5,121,742.00$

The bids were examined for accuracy and compliance with the bid requirements. Enclosed is the bid tabulation. Based on the review of the bid information submitted, the apparent low bidder's submittal is compliant with the bid documents. Therefore, HDR recommends that Mecklenburg County enter into a contract with RJ Leeper Construction, LLC. for this project.

HDR appreciates the opportunity to provide continued service to Mecklenburg County. Please feel free to contact me if you have questions regarding this recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,
HDR Engineering, Inc. of the Carolinas


Emily Tucker, PE
Project Manager
Enclosure: Bid Tabulation


Notes:

1) AVM Contractors, LLC: Discrepancies between unit pricing and extension, as indicated in red text. In accordance with A Legal Guide to Construction Contracting with North Carolina Local Governments (c 2015), Chapter 2.53 , "The general rule of practice that addresses this discrepancy is that unit price controls. If the local government wishes to use this rule in the event of a discrepancy between unit price and extension, it should state this in its bid specifications." On the Bid Form, Section 4.A states that "The Base Bid Total indicated in the numbers and in words at the end of the Base Bid Schedule, shall be used for determination of the successful Bidder." In this case, a final determination was made that the Bid Form stipulation governs.
2) Ground Thunder Construction, Inc.. Itemized total costs, as indicated in red text, were rounded up.
"If the omission substantially affects the bid price, the bid must be rejected as being nonresponsive."

CERTIFICATION: This is certified to be an accurate tabulation of bids received for the project.


