

Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2020

FORMAL SESSION

Due to the State of North Carolina's Declaration of Emergency in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and per NCGS § 166A-19.24, the Mecklenburg County Board of Commissioners conducted a remote meeting using the WebEx application.

CALL TO ORDER - 6 PM - REMOTE MEETING

The Board of Commissioners of Mecklenburg County, North Carolina, met remotely in Formal Session on Tuesday, May 5, 2020 at 6:03 p.m. with Chair Dunlap presiding.

Present: Chair George Dunlap
 Commissioner Patricia "Pat" Cotham
 Commissioner Trevor M. Fuller
 Commissioner Susan Harden
 Commissioner Mark Jerrell
 Commissioner Vilma D. Leake
 Commissioner Elaine Powell
 Commissioner Susan Rodriguez-McDowell
 Commissioner Ella B. Scarborough

Chair Dunlap called the meeting to order, followed by introductions, the invocation by Commissioner Leake and the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

AWARDS/RECOGNITION

20-6168: Emergency Medical Services Week

Commissioner Powell read the proclamation.

A motion was made by Commissioner Powell, seconded by Commissioner Rodriguez-McDowell, and carried unanimously to adopt a proclamation declaring May 17 - 23, 2020 as "Emergency Medical Services Week" in Mecklenburg County.

The copy of the proclamation is on file with the Clerk to the Board.

PUBLIC APPEARANCE

20-6139: Public Appearance

The following speaker(s) addressed the Board of County Commissioners:

- Ariel Thompson, Beauty of Motherhood - Breast Feeding Assistance

**Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2020**

APPOINTMENTS

20-6166: Juvenile Crime Prevention Council

The Board considered one appointment to an unexpired term expiring June 30, 2021 in the General Public category.

The following persons were nominated: Phyllis Barnette and Jana Ellison.

Chair Dunlap requested a roll call vote to appoint Phyllis Barnette.

YES: Commissioners Dunlap, Fuller, Jerrell, Leake, and Scarborough

NO: Commissioners Cotham, Harden, Powell, and Rodriguez-McDowell

Ms. Barnette received the majority of votes (5:4); as a result, no vote was taken for Ms. Ellison.

Ms. Barnette was appointed.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORTS – None

MANAGER'S REPORT

20-6141: COVID-19 Response Update

Gibbie Harris, Public Health Department Director provided an update on the COVID-19 response efforts. She said as of today, there were 2,735 confirmed cases of COVID-19, 69 deaths, and 15 long-term care facilities in outbreak status. She said we did receive a truck from the State with protective equipment for all of our long-term care facilities, and Emergency Management was in the process of delivering those supplies that would be a 14-day supply for them. She said we have seen an increase in testing over the last several weeks; the State had requested that each county come up with a plan to test five percent of their population in 30 days, and we tested 25% of that five percent in just nine days with that increased testing. She said we have seen higher case counts almost every day; today was our highest case count at 89 new cases.

She said we have seen a fairly large uptick in the number of Hispanic cases; now a third of all of our reported cases were among Hispanics, and disparities in the area of poverty all contribute to this. She said we were trying to address the situation with expanded outreach and education. We developed the Spanish tool kit, which was on our website, and we have additional staff who worked specifically with the Latino community to do targeted outreach; they were working with Hispanic-owned and serving businesses. She said we were also doing a good bit of work with media outlets, and radio spots would start later this week, and we have done quite a few interviews with their media.

Meeting Minutes

May 19, 2020

She said to the Board that although the number of cases had risen significantly, the percent positives had seen a slight decrease, which was the right direction we want to go in. She said our hospitalizations have been stable; however, we were seeing a slight decrease in our social distancing, which was to be expected with some of the release of restrictions that we have seen in our community. Ms. Harris showed the Board a new dashboard, created with Emergency Management, that would be updated daily at 5:00 p.m. She said we would continue to do the twice-weekly, data reports, and those reports would allow us to frame the issues as they arise from data and put them in community context. She said we would continue to do those reports as well; the dashboard would go live after it was being presented to you tonight. She said the link was from the County's COVID-19 webpage for people to access the dashboard. Ms. Harris said the dashboard had four different categories: community impact overview; social distancing status; medical facilities locator; and local businesses. At some point, we would probably be adding testing sites as well.

COVID-19 Revenues and Response Plan

Sarah Cunningham, Chief Financial Officer provided a presentation regarding the COVID-19 revenues and response plan. She said we were operating an unprecedented emergency event; this was a public health crisis affecting the entire world, and the effects of COVID-19 were immediate and deep. She said where states, on average, saw a 12% decline of the great recession now with a 20% decline during the COVID-19 pandemic. She said there was federal assistance help, but we would expect it to be a long window of reimbursement. She said there were three basic kinds of revenues that we were looking at; one was known revenues. She said we also received CDC funding, and that was federal funding via the State, which was limited to public health, about \$560,000. She said the next bucket was likely revenue, where we know the source, requirements and the dollars were uncertain. This includes FEMA Disaster Funding, which was federal via the State, which reimbursed us for the cost of response and mitigation for economic impacts, and the third bucket was possible revenues, and these were grant opportunities that require application. She said one example of that was Economic Development Administration CARES Act funding; that was a federal program that was not typically available to local governments, and we may qualify.

She said taking a look at cost of COVID-19, we were defining COVID-19 cost as those in response to COVID-19 and take a variety of forms, such as public health costs assistance to those who were impacted by COVID-19; technology costs; PPE; and other operational costs including the cost to transition back to the office with the new normal. She said we had a process set up to be able to review each cost and had set up financial and human resource systems so we could track staff time and expenses with a COVID-19 tag. She said we were performing analysis of these costs over time, and we were in a changing environment of performing trend analysis. She said in taking a look at COVID-19 costs as of May 15th, the County had \$13.2 million in COVID-19 costs, including expenditures and encumbrances. This includes 11.4 million of non-personnel expenses, 1.8 million in staff costs, including special pay authorities, county emergency leave and federal emergency leave. She said we expect the costs to grow in the future as we continue our COVID-19 mitigation activities; some of these costs were eligible for reimbursement through coronavirus review fund or other federal or state programs and some were not.

Meeting Minutes May 19, 2020

She said we plan to look at restricted funding streams first, meaning where we had specific grants and streams with limited purposes and making sure that we had allocated cost so we could use those to the maximum potential. She said looking at the CARES, Corona Virus Relief Fund, these were funds that could be used for both public health and mitigate the impact of COVID-19. She said we would want to look at county funds that had potential for FEMA and other reimbursements, and we would want to make sure that we were considering the needs of all Mecklenburg County residents and the Commissioners' interests, impact, timing, and potential assistance available to achieve the same goals; we would want to ensure each investment meets CARES Act criteria, and the investment should address public health needs or negative economic impacts resulting from COVID-19, and the investment as a whole should seek to fully utilize the funding and address the County and board priorities, including public health, impacts of COVID-19 on working families and people of color and other needs, and we wanted to make sure we was thinking about retaining some amount of fund for future unknowns. She said these were payments that may be used to cover costs that were necessary expenditures due to the health emergency with respect to COVID-19; these costs were not accounted for in the budget most recently approved as of March 27, 2020. She said the funds may not be used to replace revenues due to COVID-19, and any funds not spent would need to be returned to Treasury. She said as a part of this framework; we proposed categories that factor on County needs and priorities including things like the cost of PPE for county staff and improvements for facilities to promote social distancing and safety as we return to the office; it includes housing for the homeless and direct support to the housing and homeless community, such as restrooms and wash stations. She said it could include public health, economic development, and other investments, like food access and investments to address equity issues driven by COVID-19. She said some investments may cross multiple categories and that they would identify the proposal category and evaluate each proposal by impact, ability to expend by end of the cycle, and whether other funding would be available. She said the State was expected to provide the Counties 25% match with respect to FEMA assistance. She said an estimated cost of hotels, including security and food was \$5.8 million through December 30, 2020 and because we could fully recover these costs through FEMA, we was revising County staff to proposed uses down to \$12.4 million, including the \$2 million contingency; this leaves \$26.8 million in our relief funds for investment, and we sought the Commissioners' input on the use of these remaining funds.

She said the \$5.5 million that was incorporated for the Small Business Emergency Stabilization Fund was likely not eligible, because the loans that were paid back would not be considered spent. She said the majority of the \$1 million in COVID-19 personnel costs, to date, were not eligible, because they were not for staff responding to COVID-19 or because the County was requesting and seeking repayment of these through other grants and resources. She said there were \$5.9 million in costs incurred to date that was eligible after these two categories \$1.6 million on hotels, and there was \$3 million that we can use from the Corona Virus Relief Fund. For the proposed Coronavirus Relief Fund Allocation, estimated future costs of \$12.3 million supports continuation of current activities and new investments through December 30, 2020, and the majority of future expenses were in public health and employee and facility safety. She said the next steps were to support economic development, equality, mental health, small business, working families, and other priorities or needs for our community.

Meeting Minutes May 19, 2020

Commissioner Cotham asked what was happening in the environment of construction workers and what the County was doing to help them.

Dena Diorio, County Manager responded that we were not seeing the use of masks and social distance and that it had been an opportunity for us to have conversation with management about the resources they needed to make available and the opportunities for using those resources appropriately among the folks that work for them.

Commissioner Cotham asked how many individuals there were and where the construction sites were located.

Ms. Diorio responded that she did not have specific numbers of individuals who have been infected; some sites have five, six, seven, but we were not talking about an entire crew. The locations had been spread through the community. She said the ones she saw were the larger construction sites at this point.

Commissioner Fuller said he believed there were a lot of loose ends, and not in a negative way, but he believed, the loose ends needed to be brought together. He thought something more formal should be done to address those issue.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fuller, seconded by Commissioner Rodriguez-McDowell to approve beginning the process to create a Mecklenburg County COVID-19 Reopening and Recovery Task Force, for the purpose of constructing a comprehensive plan for Mecklenburg County to guide our reopening process in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, and to set a course for rebuilding our community in the aftermath of this pandemic in the areas of public health, behavioral health, hardships suffered by working families, housing and homelessness, employment, education, business, and the non-profit sector, among others. Members would consist of a range of experts and others to be appointed by the Board of County Commissioners. We would ensure that any recommendations from the County Manager's business roundtable would be included in this plan. The task force would formally be created by resolution at our meeting on June 2, 2020.

Commissioner Fuller said that the task force would be for Mecklenburg County to evaluate what needs to be done and what plans we could be put in place to reopen. He said my thought was we would formally create the task force at our June 2, 2020 meeting, so we would have time between tonight and June 2, 2020 to write a resolution that formally creates the task force.

Ms. Diorio asked how the motion relates to the CARES funding and the availability of the CARES funding, and was it your intention that the task force decides that a decision that the Board of County Commissioners was going to make? She said we only have until December 30, 2020 to spend that money if we want to spend it and make an impact.

Commissioner Fuller said he thought that would be possible.

A vote was taken on the motion and carried as follows:

Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2020

YES: Commissioners Fuller, Harden, Jerrell, Leake, Rodriguez-McDowell, and Scarborough

NO: Commissioners Cotham, Dunlap, and Powell

Commissioners requested more information on the construction workers and sites.

Commissioner Jerrell asked if maps and demographics could be added to the dashboard, so all information was in one place. He also asked if there were any clusters of the virus appearing in particular industries and if the numbers indicated that we were moving in the right direction.

Ms. Harris said that she would try to implement improvements to the dashboard. She said there was a cluster in a baking warehouse facility, but the situation has greatly improved. She said numbers were rising but they were seeing a decrease in positivity rates and community spread.

Commissioner Harden said she had been meeting with professionals that work with seniors in long term care and nursing homes on a weekly basis. She said there were two major concerns, testing – it was hard to get a baseline and was it possible to get a mobile unit to the facilities.

Commissioner Rodriguez-McDowell said she was concerned that the CARES Act funding for the County was only for the extraterritorial jurisdiction (ETJ) and did not include the six towns.

Ms. Diorio said there was \$21 million of CARES Act funding that had not yet been allocated and she was looking for direction from the Board. She said the funds had already been appropriated. She said the funds had to be spent by December 31, 2020 and she needed direction to put programs in place.

The COVID-19 Revenues and Response Plan presentation and proposed Coronavirus Relief Fund Investments Detail is on file with the Clerk to the Board.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Tyrone Wade, County Manager, said I want to make the Board aware, and for the record, that in light of recent legislation to account for public hearings in a remote setting, the statute now allows and has been amended that the Board can take action tonight but the item you actually approve, should you approve these matters, would not be effective until May 21, 2020; in other words, the statute allows 24 hours after the action on the Board for additional comments, should there be any.

20-6124: Public Hearing - Closing a Portion of Right-of-Way for Neck Road.

A motion was made by Commissioner Harden, seconded by Commissioner Jerrell, and carried unanimously to open the public hearing to hear all interested parties who appear with respect to the closing of a portion of right-of-way for Neck Road.

Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2020

There being no speakers either for or against, a motion was made by Commissioner Scarborough, seconded by Commissioner Harden, and carried unanimously to close the public hearing and to adopt the Order of Closing for a Portion of Neck Road Right-of-Way.

Note: As the current property owner, Mecklenburg County petitioned to close a 4.62-acre portion of the public right-of-way for Neck Road (SR 2074). The portion of said right-of-way currently provides access to the Cowan's Ford Wildlife Refuge and Holly Bend historic house site, both controlled by Mecklenburg County. The County would like to install a new gate to signify the entrance to the refuge and to restrict public access during non-operation hours. Before the gate can be installed, rights-of-way must be abandoned and closed.

Resolution recorded in full in Minute/Ordinance Book 48-A, Document #126.

20-6137: TEFRA Hearing – Bradford Preparatory School

A motion was made by Commissioner Fuller, seconded by Commissioner Scarborough, and carried unanimously to open the TEFRA public hearing and receive comments on the proposed financing by Bradford Preparatory School.

There being no speakers either for or against, a motion was made by Commissioner Scarborough, seconded by Commissioner Fuller, and carried unanimously, to close the public hearing and to adopt a Resolution of the Board of Commissioners of the County of Mecklenburg, North Carolina, approving the issuance by the Public Finance Authority of its education revenue bonds (Bradford Preparatory School) series 2020 in an aggregate principal amount not exceed \$40,000,000.

Note: As more fully explained in the Resolution BPS Bears LLC (the "Borrower") a North Carolina limited ability company whose sole member is Bradford Preparatory School (the "Lessee") has requested that the Public Finance Authority, a public authority existing under the laws of the State of Wisconsin (the "Authority"), issue its Education Revenue Bonds (Bradford Preparatory School) series 2020 (the "Bonds") in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$40,000,000 and loan the proceeds thereof to the Borrower for the following purposes: (a) financing the acquisition of certain land and charter educational facilities of the Lessee and the Borrower to be owned by the Borrower and leased to the Lessee for use as a charter school known as "Bradford Preparatory School" (the "School") located at 2502, and 2510 Salome Church Road, Charlotte, North Carolina 28262; (b) refinancing certain indebtedness incurred by the Lessee to acquire certain land and charter educational facilities of the Lessee and the Borrower to be owned by the Borrower and leased to the Lessee for use as the school located at 2130, and 2300 Salome Church Road, Charlotte, North Carolina 28262 (clauses (a) and (b) together, the "Project"); and (c) paying all or a portion of the costs of issuance of the Bonds.

Bradford Preparatory School, is using the Wisconsin Public Finance Authority as the issuer of the Bonds because of a desire to have a 30-year maturity on the debt; the North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, which would be the North Carolina issuer, has a policy which prohibits a bond maturity greater than 20 years.

Resolution recorded in full in Minute/Ordinance Book 48-A, Document #127.

Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2020

20-6138: TEFRA Hearing – Pioneer Springs Community School

A motion was made by Commissioner Fuller, seconded by Commissioner Jerrell, and carried unanimously, to open the TEFRA public hearing and receive comments on the proposed financing by Pioneer Springs Community School.

There being no speakers either for or against, a motion was made by Commissioner Scarborough, seconded by Commissioner Leake, and carried unanimously to close the public hearing and to adopt a Resolution of the Board of Commissioners of the County of Mecklenburg, North Carolina, approving the issuance by the public finance authority of its education revenue bonds (Pioneer Springs Community School) series 2020 in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$15,000,000.

Note: As more fully explained in the Resolution , Tetrapod Holdings, LLC (the "Borrower"), a North Carolina limited liability company whose sole member is Pioneer Springs Community School, Inc. (the "Lessee"), a North Carolina non-profit corporation has requested that the Public Finance Authority, a public authority existing under the laws of the State of Wisconsin (the "Authority"), issue its Education Revenue Bonds (Pioneer Springs Community School) series 2020 (the "Bonds") in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$15,000,000 and loan the proceeds thereof to the Borrower for the following purposes:

(a) financing and refinancing the acquisition, construction, renovation, improvement, and equipping of certain land and charter educational facilities of the Borrower and Lessee to be owned by the Borrower and leased to the Lessee for use as a charter school known as "Pioneer Springs Community School: located or to be located at 9132, 9200, 9232, 9300, and 9314 Bob Beatty Road, Charlotte, North Carolina 28269 (the "Project"); (b) refinancing one or more taxable loans incurred by the Lessee to finance the Lessee's Teachers' and State Employees Retirement System withdrawal payment; (c) funding a debt service reserve fund for the Bonds; (d) paying capitalized interest on the Bonds, if any; and (e) paying all or a portion of the costs of issuance of the Bonds;

Pioneer Springs Community School is using the Wisconsin Public Finance Authority as the issuer if the Bonds because of a desire to have a 30- year maturity on the debt; the North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency which would be the North Carolina issuer, has a policy which prohibits a bond maturity greater than 20 years.

Resolution recorded in full in Minute/Ordinance Book 48-A, Document #128.

20-6142: Public Hearing – Surface Water Pollution Control Ordinance Revision

A motion was made by Commissioner Fuller, seconded by Commissioner Scarborough, and carried unanimously to open public the hearing to receive comments on revisions to the Mecklenburg County Surface Water Pollution Control Ordinance.

Meeting Minutes May 19, 2020

There being no speakers either for or against, a motion was made by Commissioner Leake, seconded by Commissioner Scarborough, and carried unanimously to close the public hearing and to adopt revisions to the Mecklenburg County Surface Water Pollution Control Ordinance.

Note: The ordinance prohibits discharges of pollution to the storm drain system and surface waters of Mecklenburg County. The ordinance was originally adopted on May 5, 2004. The proposed revisions will improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the ordinance at protecting Mecklenburg County's surface water resources. The revisions have been provided to the County Attorney. On February 20, 2020, the revisions received support from the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Storm Water Advisory Committee. Revisions were also presented to the Environmental Stewardship Committee on March 10, 2020.

Provided below is a summary of the major proposed changes to the Mecklenburg County Surface Water Pollution Control Ordinance.

- 1. All Sections: Various wording changes were made throughout the document without changing the regulatory requirements or overall intent of the ordinance.*
- 2. Section 2. General Authorization and Ordinance Purpose: The authorization for the ordinance was added and the purpose of the ordinance was expanded to include specific objectives.*
- 3. Section 4. Definitions: Definitions were added and changed as necessary in Section 4 to support ordinance revisions.*
- 4. Section 5. Prohibitions, Accidental Discharge(s)(c): Accidental discharges were added as a violation of the ordinance.*
- 5. Section 5. Prohibitions, Improper Storage, Handling, or Processing of Materials(d): Improper storage, handling, or processing of materials was added as a violation of the ordinance if it resulted in the deposition of pollutants on the land that may become mixed with stormwater and enter the stormwater system or surface waters.*
- 6. Section 5. Prohibitions, Failure to Comply(e): Failure to comply with any requirements or corrective actions set forth in any notice made pursuant to the ordinance was added as a discrete violation of the ordinance.*
- 7. Section 5. Prohibitions, Use of High PAH Pavement Products Prohibited(f): The use of pavement products with greater than 0.1% polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) by weight on an asphalt or concrete surface was added as a violation of the ordinance.*
- 8. Section 5. Prohibitions, Obstruction(g): Obstructing, hampering, or interfering with county personnel carrying out official duties authorized by this ordinance was added as a violation.*
- 9. Section 5. Prohibitions, Allowable Incidental Discharges of Non-Storm Water(h): The following allowable discharges were added: diverted stream flows; and flows from riparian habitats and wetlands. Swimming pool and hot tub discharges continue to be allowed provided they do not contain chlorine, bromine, salt, or any other treatment chemicals. Backwash discharges from swimming pools and hot tubs and saltwater pool discharges are identified as prohibited in the revisions. Single-family and charity vehicle washing continues to be allowed; however, designated vehicle wash areas at multi-family residential complexes are identified as prohibited in the revisions if they connect, directly or indirectly, to the stormwater system or the waters of the state. In addition, the revisions specify that charity vehicle washing performed by the same organization or at the same location on a routine basis (more than one time in a thirty-day period) is not allowed.*

Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2020

10. Section 6. Powers and Authority for Inspection, Authority to Inspect and Monitor(a): The revisions add a stipulation that when security measures are in force that would require identification and clearance before entry into the premises, arrangements with security personnel must be made so that, upon presentation of identification, personnel will be permitted to enter and perform their specific duties and responsibilities without delay.
11. Section 6. Powers and Authority for Inspection, Search Warrants(b): The revisions added a provision for obtaining a search warrant to conduct inspections to the extent permitted by law.
12. Section 7. Enforcement Remedies and Penalties, Remedies Not Limited(a): The revisions add a provision that the remedies provided in the ordinance are not exclusive and may be combined with any other remedies authorized by law.
13. Section 7. Enforcement Remedies and Penalties, Notice of Violation(b): The revisions add a provision that any person who violates the ordinance, or allows a direct or indirect, act or acts which causes a violation of the ordinance will be issued a written notice of violation. The specific content of the notice is also described as well as how it will be served.
14. Section 7. Enforcement Remedies and Penalties, Civil Penalties(c): The revisions clarify that a civil penalty may be assessed for the time period from the date the violation first occurs until the date that the violation ceases as verified by staff. The revisions also indicate that penalties may be assessed concurrent with a notice of violation when staff are hampered or obstructed from carrying out official duties; a repeat or continuing violation has occurred; and/or there is a willful or intentional violation of the ordinance. In addition, the revisions add the following factors for inclusion in determining the amount of a civil penalty: knowledge of the requirements by the violator and/or reasonable opportunity or obligation to obtain such knowledge; and technical and economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating the violation. Another change is that the previous version of the ordinance required that civil penalties collected would be credited to the Mecklenburg County general fund as non-tax revenue, whereas the revised version stipulates that all penalties shall be remitted to the Charlotte-Mecklenburg School System as required by State law.
15. Section 7. Enforcement Remedies and Penalties, Compliance Agreement(e): The revisions add a provision for the use of a Compliance Agreement as a remedy to obtain compliance.
16. Section 7. Enforcement Remedies and Penalties, Compliance Order(f): The revisions add a provision for the use of a Compliance Order to obtain compliance.
17. Section 7. Enforcement Remedies and Penalties. Cease and Desist Order(g): The revisions add a provision for the use of a Cease and Desist Order to obtain compliance.
18. Section 7. Enforcement Remedies and Penalties, Withholding of Inspections, Permits, or Other Approvals(h): The revisions add a provision for withholding inspections, permits, and other approvals as a means for obtaining compliance.
19. Section 7. Enforcement Remedies and Penalties, Abatement by the County(j): The revisions include specific information regarding the process for abatement of violations by the County when the violator fails to comply.
20. Section 7. Enforcement Remedies and Penalties, Emergencies(k): The revisions include provisions for abatement of violations when there is an immediate threat to public health, safety or the environment.
21. Section 7. Enforcement Remedies and Penalties, Injunctive Relief(l): The revisions include a provision for obtaining injunctive relief through the courts as an added compliance measure.

Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2020

22. Section 8. Appeal Process: The revisions include significantly more detail regarding the appeal process for violations of the ordinance, including public hearing procedures.

Ordinance recorded in full in Minute/Ordinance Book 48-A, Document #129.

MANAGER'S REPORT

20-6167: 7th & Tryon Redevelopment Project – Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Update

Mark Hahn, Director of Asset and Facility Management Department, provided an update on the 7th & Tryon redevelopment project and outlined the key terms of the MOU (Memorandum of Understanding) with the Master Developer, BP-Metropolitan NC, LLC. He said the redevelopment project was a coordinated effort by four landowners to combine their property for a 1.5 block redevelopment that would transform the area as recommended by the North Tryon Vision Plan. He said the four property owners and master developer that had coordinated the negotiation and was party to the MOU include Mecklenburg County, the City of Charlotte, the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Library, Bank of America, and BP Metropolitan and Master Developer. Mr. Hahn described the property ownership. He spoke about the vision for the transformative project, stating the redevelopment offered a unique opportunity for private-public partnership that could benefit all of Charlotte-Mecklenburg. He said the vision for this project was to create the more open and inclusive corridor and housing, retail, and office uses, and the project was more important now than ever to a community in need of an economic lift and a boost to its civic spirit. He said it would generate new tax base by converting public property to private use and convert 600 million for development of office, residential, retail, and mixed use and provides an attractive space for major corporate office tenant and office space to grow talent attraction. He said the project would bring thousands of jobs to the North Tryon area, and it delivers affordable housing along with the creation of engaging, outdoor open spaces with a healthy, outdoor-dining atmosphere. He said the redevelopment would have a significant economic impact by having created an estimated of 1,200 to 1,500 construction jobs and 250 permanent facility-based jobs upon opening, and the impact for local suppliers during construction were estimated to be \$75 million. The goal for participation by MWSBE vendors was estimated to generate \$35 to \$40 million providing a boost for those local firms; new property tax revenue at full buildout was estimated to add \$4.1 million annually and new annual local sales tax revenue was estimated to be \$674,000 in new annual fiscal sales tax revenue.

He said for the key terms of the Memorandum of Understanding, having started with the sale and purchase of the redevelopment site, the total amount of property to be sold by the developer was across the 1.5 block area, as shown here in yellow. He said the sales price was \$21.5 million, and the property would be sold to Metropolitan in one land transaction. He said of the total area being sold, the County's land accounts for approximately .108 acres at a pro-rated value of approximately \$7.4 million; upon execution of the master development agreement, Metropolitan would deposit \$500,000 into an escrow account to secure performance of their obligations under the agreement and credited against the purchase property of the property at closing.

Meeting Minutes May 19, 2020

He said the County and library would be responsible for demolishing portions of the main library and Spirit Square pertaining to the McGowan and Spirit Theater; he stated that Metropolitan acknowledges the County would be required to give users of Spirit Square at least 12 months prior notice of the commencement of demolition. The redevelopment project would include sufficient parking below ground and above grade to accommodate the program requirements of the main library and the two Spirit Square theaters. He stated the redevelopment required coordination with the library project. He said the development agreement itself would include the applicable key terms of the MOU as well as an estimated timeline for commencement of construction of all the project components. He said regarding grants or other public sector support, the City and County would continue to collaborate on providing not less than a \$25 million tax increment grant for the public purpose and below ground and above grade parking structures. He said the MOU included a requirement that Metropolitan would contribute \$3 million at the closing of the sale of the 7th and Tryon property. He said the stakeholders would not enter into any agreement for a 180-day period for the sale or redevelopment of their property on this site with any party other than Metropolitan or the buyer; violation by stakeholders could result in up to \$4.1 million.

He said the County land proceeds provided \$7.4 million and Bank of America Land proceeds provided \$8.3 million, having included a \$3 million contribution from the development team provided a total of \$18.8 million available to invest in affordable housing. He said one option was for housing through an on-site master lease right on North Tryon; a second option was for housing on the INLIVIAN priority, and option three was for offsite housing units at other locations in the County. He said you would see the allocation amount of \$14.5 million could be applied to any of these three options or could be a mix of the options; that was a decision before you as we finalize the terms of the MOU. He said the \$14.5 million investment delivers 36 units at the full range of 30%, 60%, and 80% AMI levels, 12 at 30%; 12 at 60%, and 12 at 80%. He said the average AMI would be 58%. He said for option two, the INLIVIAN the number of units and AMI was determined as part of the development land for their property. He said with option three, offsite development, there would be 52 units at 30% AMI, 18 units at 50% AMI, 120 units at 60% AMI, and 17 units at 80% AMI.

He said the Board would consider authorization for the County Manager to execute the MOU at the next regular meeting; after execution of the MOU, negotiation of the development agreement would begin. He said once the development agreement was finalized, the Board would consider approval of that agreement and authorization for the County Manager to execute. We would intend to bring the options for Spirit Square renovations back to the Board for execution of final option and approval of funding. He said there was a sense of urgency among numerous parties to finalize the MOU and keep this project moving. He said the master developer would need to manage agreements to land an anchor tenant and component developers of mixed-use commercial and multi-family build.

Chair Dunlap said he appointed Commissioner Fuller to address ongoing issues as it relates to this development.

Dena Diorio, County Manager, said we did have a meeting with the master developer to talk about where we would go from here. After five years of work on this project, what you were seeing here tonight was the best effort to bring a project forward that was affordable that we

Meeting Minutes May 19, 2020

believe was actually executable in the near term. She said what we brought today addresses all of the questions that the Board had around affordable housing; it provided a number of options for the Board to consider around affordable housing, understanding that affordable housing on North Tryon was a priority, so it was providing for that.

Commissioner Fuller said he attended that meeting, and there were a number of stakeholders there, Bank of America, the City, the library, and INLIVIAN. He said he had to say he was a bit bothered by some things that happened at the meeting and thought while these affordable housing options were edible, he did not know it was the best that we could do. He said in particular, he had some issues with what he had regarded as the sidelining of a government entity, previously referred to as the Housing Authority, now INLIVIAN. He said we say that affordable housing was at the core of this project, the choices that we had for affordable housing, that would be right there in the central business district, seemed to have been sidelined, while there were a clear opportunity with a fellow public entity that could produce affordable housing in fairly substantial numbers. He said he did not think we had to blow up what we had done. He said he just thought that we would need to work harder on affordable housing.

Commissioner Cotham said she appreciate the idea of affordable housing uptown, but we had so many people, 36 plus families; she can't feel good about 36. She liked the idea of the offsite. They were a main part of this and left the group and wanted to negotiate this their selves; that was their right. She said she had a hard time going back to support them when they were a part of the team and left; I like the idea of the last one, and it would help more people than 36. She said we would get more bang for our buck, and she liked that aspect of it. She said people who were of lower income/moderate income, she was just concerned about them living uptown, because it was more expensive, and may not be as comforting; it may make us feel good, but to a family or senior citizen who might live a little slower may be more comfortable in an area with more things affordable that are closer to them. She said she was leaning towards the third option.

Commissioner Scarborough said go for it. She said the housing units in the uptown area would do a lot for Charlotte.

Commissioner Fuller said he appreciated Commissioner Cotham's comments about this. He said he didn't want to address dirty laundry being aired from March 5th, but it was sufficive to say that he did not think it was as clear as he had been lead to believe about INLIVIAN's participation, and he did not think it was fair to characterize INLIVIAN as being somehow selfish or destroying the deal. He said the evidence did not lead him to that conclusion. He said having said that, he still thought we had an entity in this town whose mission, by statute, is to create affordable housing in our community, and the notion that we would not be the central core principle of this project did not match up with the evidence. He said he thought we could get a bigger role and the bigger win would be that we would get this offsite housing and get a lot more of it but also get housing on this prime property, and I thought we could do that by someway joining with INLIVIAN portion of the project to accomplish that. He said he thought it would be a win for everybody.

Commissioner Leake asked why INLIVIAN decided to back out and then come back with us. She asked what their problem was.

Meeting Minutes

May 19, 2020

Mr. Hahn responded they really had a much different business model and needs than the other landowners; they had a desire to regain control of their land through a lease. They wanted to have equity in the project. These were all things that are part of their typical business model, and they had a desire to be involved in the construction. He said the remaining landowners desired cash sale so our land proceeds could be used to support affordable housing or other needs. Based on those sort of diff models, it came to the point that INLIVIAN decided to pursue direct negotiations with the developer and that was something that went on for a few months, and he guessed it did not come to an agreement.

Commissioner Leak asked how they came back.

Mr. Hahn responded they were back in this as an option for you to consider investing some of your land proceeds or this \$14.5 million that was available if you wanted to have the option to set aside some money for development on their site by INLIVIAN, and that would just be a matter of determining what that looks like when they would come up with a plan for that.

Commissioner Leake said her concern was for workers who work downtown and live downtown also which might fall under the line of medium-income or low-income individuals. She said someone would have to come from somewhere to have jobs to afford to even stay there. She asked how that would leave this community?

Commissioner Jerrell said he appreciated multiple options. He said he wasn't tracking with the idea that because people were socioeconomically disadvantage, they wouldn't enjoy uptown living. He said we do not just have choice A, B, or C; we could take a combination of all three of these things; how do we get the biggest bang for the buck? He said he would like to hear, now that this is out in the open, from INLIVIAN to really get a better understanding of their thoughts around this. He asked for the total number of units, all together, in option one.

Mr. Hanh responded he thought around 400, but he would get that number for you.

Commissioner Jerrell said tell us a little bit about the developer and their track record when it comes to MWSBE; I know we had a 30% and called it a good faith effort. He asked what good faith constituted and if there were a penalty for not meeting it.

Mr. Hanh said the developer was using a local contractor who had a very good tract record with MWSBE participation, so he would be very optimistic that they would meet that goal. He said the development agreement was where that language would typically be included, and of course we were not there yet; we were just now talking about a memorandum of understanding that would get us to an agreement, so the language was what you saw and would need to be developed further to include something stronger.

Commissioner Jerrell asked how large the INLIVIAN site was.

Mr. Hanh responded that he would get back with that answer.

Commissioner Jerrell asked if we wanted to spread the proceeds around to all three options, could we do that?

Meeting Minutes May 19, 2020

Mr. Hanh said yes.

Commissioner Jerrell asked if there was anything that would stop us from bringing Mr. Meacham in at our next meeting to hear some thoughts from INLIVIAN?

Ms. Diorio said the next meeting was straw votes. The last time they talked to them they said it would be 9 to 12 months before they could come up with a plan, so if the Board's desire was to come up with option two, you could set your money aside and see what their plan was, or you could decide to allocate our proceeds between options one and three, but if in 12 months from now they came back with a plan, it would not preclude the Board to decide if they would want to allocate some of our fund balance or other funds to support INLIVIAN in their project. She said she did not want them to perceive if they did not make the decision on INLIVIAN now that they could never do it. She thought there were opportunities in the future to support them if they came back to us with an executable plan.

Commissioner Harden said she would have liked to work with INLIVIAN on this; she agreed completely with Commissioner Fuller's assessment. She said she did not want to wait nine months to figure out where the money would go. She said she would guess if they would go with option two that they would need to hear from INLIVIAN pretty quickly about something that feels executable or something that feels they could at least commit to a certain number of units down the road. She said she thought they owed it to the public when they exercise this MOU to be able to say this was how much affordable housing would do. She said she was fully in support of hearing from them again, but she just thought they would need to give something they could talk to the public about in terms of what the money buys the community. She thanked Mr. Hanh and Diorio for putting the 12-month notice for Spirit Square. She said she would like to vote on it the same time as Spirit Square, and they are basically voting to demolish Spirit Square and that at the same time she would like to be able to say this was the investment that they promise to make to put it back together. She said she had heard from a number of affordable housing advocates, and it was very important to them, from a symbolic standpoint and for what it means to the community to have affordable housing uptown. She said that it was important that uptown be available for everyone, and she knew when this project was initially started, that was the idea and not offsite affordable housing. She said she was prepared to support units like what were proposed in the first option.

Commissioner Rodriguez-McDowell said who was going to get the boost from this project - that was a question they needed to ask their selves. She said 10% affordable housing was not a solve for affordable housing, that it would never solve affordable housing and that when you talk about 24,000 to 34,000 units that we were short; these new projects that came up where they have 10% affordable housing is not a solve but symbolism, and that was important. She said she did not want to live in a city only for the elite. She said she did want to know what INLIVIAN's plan was, and she supported options one and three, as long as when they do have their plan, the County could be a part of it. She said she did not like the idea of demolishing Spirit Square unless a space is put back for artists; she wants to see where the artists' space would be. She asked how many years of investment would it take to pay the \$25 million dollars back to the County?

Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2020

Mr. Hanh said he did not have the details on that, typically 10 to 12 years.

Commissioner Powell asked about the non-binding MOU.

Mr. Wade said a memorandum of understanding is an agreement between parties, and we had not worked through it yet. We will work through the details to talk about whatever the direct the Board wants to go.

Commissioner Powell said everything they talked about today was non-binding. The non-binding made it feel it was not official, but it was official, because the more it moves along the more strength it gains. She said she just wanted to get clarification on that.

Mr. Wade said if he could interject, there were portions that were binding, exclusivity clause, access to the site, termination clauses, sort of more boiler plate things. He said the non-binding portions were the rest of it basically.

Commissioner Powell said she agreed with a lot Commissioners Fuller and Rodriguez-McDowell said. She said she did not have a good idea about this, as she is concerned about the vision, public benefits, and decisions are being tweaked again by people with disproportionate sway. She requested to hear from the community, INLIVIAN, equitable communities; the community building initiative group; and the public before going forward and she could not move forward until hearing from them. She said she was concerned about what she was hearing about community members. She said when someone tells her there is a sense of urgency it makes her slow down like she really needs to think through it.

Chair Dunlap asked how long the project was being developed?

Ms. Diorio said probably almost five years.

Chair Dunlap said five years did not seem like a rush to him and that in five years they had heard from a lot of people. He said they had the best of both worlds in that they could do all three, but the question was if they were willing to sacrifice residents for the many in the exchange for the few. He said they could build 36 units or an excess of 200 units all for the same people. He asked about a stipulation with them working with another developer, which suggested to him that they could not work with ILIVIAN outside of the development or could not bring them back into the development period.

Mr. Wade said he would have to pull up that document.

Chair Dunlap said even if they did option one and three, they could come back at a later time and still do option two even though he still thinks they are sacrificing a lot of people for 36 units. He said his biggest concern was cost escalation. He requested to schedule a special, virtual meeting specifically for the purpose of having INIVIAN come and address the entire Board, so the issue is off the table at the time of the vote.

**Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2020**

Ms. Diorio said we are going to vote on this June 2nd, but we have a whole day scheduled next Tuesday and Wednesday, and if we can get with INIVIAN tomorrow we would be able to get them to come one of those two days.

Chair Dunlap said that is fine and to instruct them to come with a plan, not a promise as we need to know how their numbers work.

The presentation is on file with the Clerk to the Board.

DEPARTMENTAL DIRECTORS' MONTHLY REPORTS

The Commissioners received the monthly department management reports for May 2020 for informational purposes.

The presentation was on file with the Clerk to the Board.

STAFF REPORTS & REQUESTS – None

COUNTY COMMISSIONERS REPORTS & REQUESTS – None

CONSENT ITEMS

A motion was made by Commissioner Fuller, seconded by Commissioner Scarborough, and carried unanimously to approve the following Consent items:

20-6121: Fee Ordinance Changes - Land Use and Environmental Services Agency

Adopt amended Land Use and Environmental Services Agency (LUESA) Fee Ordinance.

Ordinance recorded in full in Minute/Ordinance Book 48-A, #139.

20-6123: Storm Water Program - FY20 Hazard Mitigation McDowell Farms

(A) Accept the Offer of Sale of Real Estate from Mary Roeum and Bobby Nguyen, owners of property located at 6429 Mounting Rock Road, Charlotte, NC (tax parcel 167-221-57), for \$242,000, (B) accept the Offer of Sale of Real Estate from l'John and Bria Gatewood, owners of property located at 6507 Mounting Rock Road, Charlotte, NC (tax parcel 167-221-63), for \$200,000 and (C) authorize local emergency response agencies to use the structures for training exercises.

Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2020

20-6129: Grant Application: FY20-21 JCPC Alternatives to Commitment Program (SL 2005) – CJS

(A) Affirm submission of a grant application to the North Carolina Department of Public Safety for FY20-21 JCPC Alternatives to Commitment Program (SL 2005) up to \$100,000 for delivering vocational skills training and Moral Reconciliation Therapy for Level III and select Level II juveniles and (B) if awarded, recognize, receive, and appropriate the amount awarded in the General Grants Fund (G001) for the duration of the grant periods within Criminal Justice Services.

Note: (A) Re-Entry Services Unit would deliver vocational skills training and Moral Reconciliation Therapy for Level III and select Level II juveniles, age 12 and above, with high risks and/or high needs. The program would include delivery of employment retention skills building curriculum and cognitive behavioral interventions for up to 100 juveniles under the supervision of the 26th Judicial District Juvenile Court; services would be offered in both pre-release and post-release settings. The Criminal Justice Case Manager position would be responsible for both vocational skills training and cognitive behavioral group facilitation. (B) Aligns to Goal 2: Objective 1: Strategy B to provide services for juveniles at the highest risk for entering the adult system (ages 12+ years of age). Additionally, focuses on the increase expected in the targeted Raise the Age population ages 16+ years of age by providing additional vocational supports to increase likelihood of employment success.

20-6130: Grant Application: FY20-21 JCPC-Endorsed Level II Dispositional Alternative Program – CJS

(A) Affirm submission of a grant application to the North Carolina Department of Public Safety for FY20-21 JCPC-Endorsed Level II Dispositional Alternative Program up to \$170,686 for delivering a vocational services program for Level II and select Level I juveniles, (B) if awarded, recognize, receive, and appropriate the amount awarded in the General Grants Fund (G001) for the duration of the grant period within Criminal Justice Services and (C) authorize an additional grant-funded position in Criminal Justice Services, a Criminal Justice Case Manager, in the amount of up to \$48,500.

Note: (A) Re-Entry Services Unit would deliver a vocational services program for Level II and select Level I juveniles, age 15 and above, with high risks and/or high needs. The program would include delivery of employment retention skills building curriculum, job training and/or job placement for up to 100 juveniles under the supervision of the 26th Judicial District Juvenile Court. The Criminal Justice Case Manager positions would be responsible for vocational counseling, group facilitation and education/vocational development, as well as contracted community supports. (B) Aligns to Goal 2: Objective 1: Strategy B to provide case management for juveniles at the highest risk for entering the adult system (ages 15+ years of age). Additionally, focuses on the increase expected in this target population due to implementation of Raise the Age in December 2019 by providing additional vocational supports to increase likelihood of employment success.

Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2020

20-6131: Greenway Donation - Six Mile Creek

Accept the fee simple donation of Tax Parcel 231-122-53 (+/- 3.809 acres), owned by Pulte Home Corporation, for the Six Mile Creek Greenway.

20-6135: Tax Refunds

Approve refunds in the amount of \$96,446.39 as statutorily required to be paid as requested by the County Assessor

Note: This Board action was necessary to approve registered motor vehicle refunds resulting from clerical errors, value changes and appeals processed in the new statewide vehicle tax system.

A list of taxpayer recipients was on file with the Clerk to the Board.

THIS CONCLUDED ITEMS APPROVED BY CONSENT.

20-6116: Budget Amendment - Criminal Justice Services (Revenue Increase)

A motion was made by Commissioner Leake, seconded by Commissioner Scarborough, and carried unanimously to amend the 2019-2020 Annual Budget Ordinance to recognize, receive, and appropriate an increase in revenue of \$37,048 from Cardinal Innovations Healthcare in the General Fund (0001) within Criminal Justice Services.

Note: The North Carolina Department of Human and Health Services had provided Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) funding to apply towards jail diversion strategies in their catchment areas that was tied to the Stepping Up initiative. Stepping Up was a national NACO initiative geared towards addressing behavioral health in jail populations across the country. CJS currently chairs the Mecklenburg County Stepping Up efforts and conducts a significant amount of jail diversion work. CJS had partnered with CMPD to utilize funds to continue to develop a technology platform that serves as a behavioral health repository for Crisis Intervention Teams (CIT) officers. The platform provides CIT officers the capacity to record and share information with other CIT officers on behavioral health encounters in the field. Cardinal was Mecklenburg County's MCO.

20-6158: Capital Project Ordinances

A motion was made by Commissioner Leake, seconded by Commissioner Scarborough, and carried unanimously to (A) amend FY 2016 Authorization Park and Recreation Capital Project Ordinance adopted July 15, 2020 to decrease Hucks Road Regional Park Project by \$50,000 and (B) amend FY 2018 Authorization Park and Recreation Capital Project Ordinance adopted June 4, 2020 to increase David B. Waymer Recreation Center Renovations Project by \$50,000.

Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2020

Note: *Hucks Road Regional Park project was substantially complete, and Park & Recreation was transferring funds to the Waymer Gym project to purchase furniture, fixtures, and equipment.*

Ordinances recorded in full in Minute/Ordinance Book 48-A, Document #131 and #132.

20-6126: Budget Amendment - Sheriff's Office Special Revenue Fund (Revenue and Expense Increase)

A motion was made by Commissioner Leake, seconded by Commissioner Scarborough, and carried unanimously to (A) recognize, receive and appropriate \$525,890 from Inmate Commissary revenue to the Sheriff's Office Special Revenue Fund (8521); (B) recognize, receive and appropriate \$211,100 from Vocational Facility telephone revenue to the Sheriff's Office Special Revenue Fund (8521); (C) recognize, receive and appropriate \$543,000 from concealed handgun permit fees to the Sheriff's Office Special Revenue Fund (8521); and, (D) recognize, receive and appropriate \$8,622 from seized assets to the Sheriff's Office Special Revenue Fund (8521).

Note: *(A) All funds collected through Jail commissary operations was to be used for inmate education, library and self-sufficiency programs, as well as supplies and equipment to support the programs. (B) All funds received from 16.8 percent of commissions from the inmate telephone system was to be used for inmate vocational education programs. (C) The Sheriff's Office share of all funds resulting from the issuance of concealed carry permits would be used for law enforcement purposes only. These funds would be used for the purchase of supplies and equipment as necessary but would not be used for the purchase of information technology. (D) Funds was generated from seized assets and would allow the Sheriff's Office to enter into contracts and expend money in accordance with the Special Revenue Fund ordinance.*

20-6128: Budget Amendment - Sheriff's Office (Revenue Increase)

A motion was made by Commissioner Leake, seconded by Commissioner Scarborough, and carried unanimously to (A) recognize, receive and appropriate \$525,890 from Inmate Commissary revenue to the Sheriff's Office Special Revenue Fund (8521); (B) recognize, receive and appropriate \$211,100 from Vocational Facility telephone revenue to the Sheriff's Office Special Revenue Fund (8521); (C) recognize, receive and appropriate \$543,000 from concealed handgun permit fees to the Sheriff's Office Special Revenue Fund (8521); and, (D) recognize, receive and appropriate \$8,622 from seized assets to the Sheriff's Office Special Revenue Fund (8521).

Note: *Mecklenburg County Sheriff's Office (MCSO) had been awarded \$2,500 from the Major County Sheriffs of America's (MCSA) Diamond Premiere Partner, AXON as part of their "Food and Shelter for First Responders Program". AXON had been at the front of technological advances such as the taser and this was just another example of how AXON was stepping up to support law enforcement. MCSO would use the funding to provide all shifts working throughout the agency with a meal to augment the disruption caused by Covid-19 to the ODR.*

Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2020

20-6133: Health Department Amended Fee Schedule

Motion was made by Commissioner Leake, seconded by Commissioner Scarborough and carried unanimously to approve the new services and fees for Telehealth Services.

Note: Due to the COVID-19 crisis, NC Division of Health and Human Services implemented changes to the Medicaid Billing policies. NC Medicaid had temporarily modified the requirements for telehealth services. Telehealth services allow the Department to provide telehealth services to patients with Medicaid regardless of where the patient or provider was located. The State had approved the retro-effective date for the modified services to March 10, 2020.

COMMISSIONER REPORTS

20-6148: Commissioner Reports

Commissioner Leake stated she wanted to mention what a business in Districted was doing in the community; this business was providing lunch for its staff of 152 people; She said she would get the name of it and say it in the next meeting.

Commissioner Jerrell thanked staff for their continued work and effort through this time. He thanked his colleagues as well. He said he made a hashtag, #maskupmeck and reminded the community to wear a mask.

Commissioner Harden said there were some people in the community who have not received any benefits yet in the community; there were people who had applied for unemployment and different grants and have not received that yet. There were families sitting around the table now wondering how they would pay their bills in June. She reminded her colleagues that they have to be as responsive as possible to the challenges that were facing many families in the community. She also stated that it was important that we were united in the importance of wearing masks.

Commissioner Rodriguez-McDowell highlighted her support for the task force that Commissioner Fuller proposed, because what she wanted to focus on was recovering.

Commissioner Powell thanked Commissioners Rodriguez-McDowell and Dunlap for bringing up parody to towns; she said she thought it was extremely important to have had mindfulness of all the towns while working with the \$21 million.

Commissioner Cotham gave credit to Ms. Diorio and Mr. Hahn for the presentation and many years of time spend on this. She also thanked Gibbie Harris for her continue work on COVID-19 and said there were a lot of wonderful advocates for affordable housing, including the Housing Advisory Board, which does great work.

Commissioner Fuller thanked his colleagues for the close attention that was paid to the difficult issues that were being dealt with; he thanked the County Manager and staff for all the work they did to tee the issues up for the Board to make decisions.

Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2020

Chair Dunlap said he loved the #maskupmeck hashtag and said he could not forget the fact that a lot of vulnerable people were not wearing mask. He stated he did not know how to get people to take it seriously. He reminded the community about the Emergency Medical Services and to commended them and recognized them for the work they had provided to the community.

ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Commissioner Fuller, seconded by Commissioner Leake, and carried unanimously to adjourn the meeting. The meeting was adjourned at 9:34 p.m.

Emily A. Kunze, Clerk

George Dunlap, Chair